

Decision Letter (BN-2025-1835.R1)

From: cjoly@unicamp.br

To: sevaristodossantos@gmail.com

CC: jrmermudes@gmail.com

Subject: Biota Neotropica - Decision on Manuscript ID BN-2025-1835.R1

Body: 16-Mar-2026

Dear Dr. dos Santos:

It is a pleasure to accept your manuscript entitled "Ochteroidea (Hemiptera: Heteroptera: Nepomorpha) of Pará, Brazil, with new records from the western portion of the state" in its current form for publication in the Biota Neotropica. The comments of the reviewer(s) who reviewed your manuscript are included at the foot of this letter.

In order to continue with the publishing process, we kindly ask you to proceed with the Article Processing Charge payment, via PayPal:

R\$ 1.350,00: https://static.scielo.org/apc/BN/bn_fee_brl_1350.html?submissionID=BN-2025-1835.R1 - If you are are paying from inside Brazil;
US\$ 450,00: https://static.scielo.org/apc/BN/bn_fee_usd_450.html?submissionID=BN-2025-1835.R1 - If you are paying from outside Brazil.

P.S.: The nota fiscal/invoice is going to be issued in the name of the person paying via PayPal.

If you need to pay by other means, please contact gestao.editorial@scielo.org and apc@scielo.org.

Thank you for your fine contribution. On behalf of the Editors of the Biota Neotropica, we look forward to your continued contributions to the Journal.

Sincerely,
Prof. Carlos Joly
Editor-in-Chief, Biota Neotropica
cjoly@unicamp.br

Associate Editor

Comments to the Author:

Agradecemos pelo envio da sua versão revisada. O artigo foi aceito, mas pode receber modificações recomendadas pela equipe técnica de diagramação.

Entire Scoresheet:

Reviewer: 1

Recommendation: Accept

Comments:

The manuscript is now ready for publication. Only consider the nexly published paper on Gelastocoridae of Venezuela and add the reference.

Additional Questions:

Does the manuscript contain new and significant information to justify publication?: Yes

Does the Abstract (Summary) clearly and accurately describe the content of the article?: Yes

Is the problem significant and concisely stated?: Yes

Are the methods described comprehensively?: Yes

Are the interpretations and conclusions justified by the results?: Yes

Is adequate reference made to other work in the field?: Yes

Is the language acceptable?: Yes

Please rate the priority for publishing this article (1 is the highest priority, 10 is the lowest priority): 9

Length of article is: Adequate

Number of tables is: Adequate

Number of figures is: Adequate

Please state any conflict(s) of interest that you have in relation to the review of this paper (state "none" if this is not applicable).: none

Rating:


Interest: 1. Excellent

Quality: 1. Excellent

Originality: 1. Excellent

Overall: 1. Excellent

Date Sent: 16-Mar-2026

 Close Window